

1 LTL Attorneys LLP
2 Heather F. Auyang (Bar No. 191776)
heather.auyang@ltlattorneys.com
3 Joe H. Tuffaha (Bar No. 253723)
joe.tuffaha@ltlattorneys.com
4 Prashanth Chennakesavan (Bar No. 284022)
prashanth.chennakesavan@ltlattorneys.com
5 300 South Grand Ave., 14th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071
6 Tel.: (213) 612-8900
7 Fax: (213) 612-3773

Counsel for Plaintiff
TP-Link USA Corporation

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

**TP-LINK USA CORPORATION, a
California Corporation,**

Plaintiff,

V.

CAREFUL SHOPPER, LLC, a New York company, ADAM STARKE, an individual, SORA STARKE, an individual, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 8:19-CV-00082

COMPLAINT

1. Violation of the Lanham Act
 2. Cal. Business & Professions Code § 17200 *et seq.*
 3. Common Law Injury to Business Reputation
 4. Common Law Unfair Competition
 5. Common Law Trademark Infringement
 6. Unjust Enrichment

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

1 Plaintiff TP-Link USA Corporation (“TP-Link”), by and through its attorneys,
2 brings this action against Defendants Careful Shopper, LLC (“Careful Shopper”),
3 Adam Starke, Sora Starke, and DOES 1 through 10 (collectively, “Defendants”),
4 and hereby alleges as follows.

5 **NATURE OF ACTION**

6 1. For more than 20 years, TP-Link has been engaged in the marketing
7 and sale of high-quality computer networking products, including switches, routers,
8 wireless adapters, and other computer accessories. TP-Link and its affiliates sell
9 products throughout the United States and internationally, including in this district.

10 2. TP-Link is the exclusive licensee of numerous registered trademarks
11 for the mark “TP-LINK” in connection with computer networking products
12 (collectively, “TP-LINK® Marks”).

13 3. Pursuant to the license agreement, TP-Link has the exclusive right to
14 market, sell, distribute or offer products and services in connection with the TP-
15 LINK® Marks. TP-Link also has the right to sublicense or assign the use of the TP-
16 LINK® Marks to any third-party for use in the United States.

17 4. TP-Link has the right to take all actions necessary to protect the rights
18 in the TP-LINK® Marks, including, without limitation, making demands and claims
19 and filing suit in connection with any alleged infringement and unfair competition,
20 including for past, present or future claims, and is entitled to retain all damages, fees
21 and any other amounts awarded.

22 5. This action arises out of Defendants’ unauthorized incorporation and
23 infringing use of the TP-LINK® Marks in connection with networking products,
24 including routers, switches, range extenders, PowerLine adapters, modems,
25 adapters, smart home products, and cameras.

26 6. Defendants have been on notice of their infringement of TP-Link’s
27 intellectual property, including the TP-LINK® Marks since at least March 2018,
28 when they received a notice from Amazon. TP-Link brings this action for

1 infringement of the TP-LINK® Marks in violation of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C.
2 § 1051 *et seq.*; for unfair competition and false designation of origin in violation of
3 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a); for unfair competition arising under Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code
4 § 17200 *et seq.*; for common law injury to business reputation; for unfair
5 competition and trademark infringement under the common law of the State of
6 California; and for unjust enrichment.

7 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

8 7. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction over this action
9 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) and 15 U.S.C. § 1121. This Court has
10 supplemental claim jurisdiction over the state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
11 § 1338(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

12 8. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because, upon
13 information and belief, Defendants, either directly or through its agents, transacts
14 business in the State of California and in this judicial district (“district”), directed its
15 activities to the State of California and this district, committed the tort of
16 infringement in this district, and/or expected or should reasonably have expected its
17 acts to have consequences in the State of California and this district.

18 9. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because this
19 action arises out of wrongful acts, including advertising, offering for sale, and
20 selling and distributing products, by Defendants within this judicial district, and/or a
21 substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this
22 district.

23 **PARTIES**

24 10. Plaintiff TP-Link USA Corporation is a corporation organized under
25 the laws of the State of California, with its principal place of business located at 145
26 South State College Blvd., Suite 400, Brea, California, 92821.

27 11. On information and belief, Defendant Careful Shopper, LLC is
28 registered under the laws of the State of New York, with its principal place of

1 business in Brooklyn, New York.

2 12. On information and belief, Defendant Adam Starke, is the Owner and
3 Managing Member of Careful Shopper, LLC, and resides in Brooklyn, New York.

4 13. On information and belief, Defendant Sora Starke is a Member of
5 Careful Shopper, LLC, and resides in Brooklyn, New York. Upon information and
6 belief, Sora Stake actively participated in the business activities of Careful Shopper,
7 including the advertising, listing and sale of the at-issue products bearing the TP-
8 LINK® Marks.

9 14. Upon information and belief, Careful Shopper, Adam Starke, and Sora
10 Starke are and have been marketing and selling infringing networking products in
11 and around the Los Angeles, California area and, on information and belief,
12 throughout the United States.

13 15. The true names or capacities of Defendants named herein as DOES 1
14 through 10 are presently unknown to TP-Link. Therefore, TP-Link sues said
15 Defendants by such fictitious names, and it will amend this Complaint to show their
16 true names and capacities when the same has been ascertained. TP-Link is informed
17 and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendants sued as DOES 1 through
18 10, and each of them, are liable in whole or in part for the wrongful acts alleged
19 herein.

20 16. On information and belief, each Defendant, including DOES 1 through
21 10, inclusive, have willfully aided and abetted each of the other Defendants in the
22 wrongful concerted action described herein, or acted with or in furtherance of that
23 action, or assisted in carrying out its purpose alleged in this Complaint.

24 17. Defendants, and each of them, are individually sued as participants and
25 aiders and abettors in the wrongful conduct complained of herein, and the liability of
26 each arises from the fact that each has engaged in all or part of the improper acts,
27 plans, schemes, conspiracies, or transactions complained of herein.

28

1 **ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION**

2 **A. TP-Link's Registered Trademarks**

3 18. TP-Link is the exclusive licensee with all rights to enforce U.S.
4 Trademark Registration Nos. 3,175,495, 4,307,337, and 4,244,599 (the “TP-LINK®
5 Marks”) in both standard character and stylized design forms. Copies of the
6 registrations of TP-LINK® trademarks are attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**.

7 19. U.S. Trademark Registration No. 3,175,495 is the registration for “TP-
8 LINK” in International Class 9 for “Modems, optical fiber converters, optical
9 transmitters, optical receivers, optical switches, transmitters of electronic signals,
10 network switches, network hubs, receiver servers, routers, videophones.” On
11 December 8, 2011, TP-Link filed a Combined Declaration of Use and
12 Incontestability under Sections 8 & 15 with the United States Patent and Trademark
13 Office for the TP-LINK mark. Accordingly, the TP-LINK mark is valid, subsisting,
14 and incontestable pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1065 and 1115(b).

15 20. U.S. Trademark Registration No. 4,307,337 is registered in
16 International Class 9 for “Modems; Network routers; adapters; Ethernet switches;
17 switch modules; Network cards, namely, local area network computer cards for
18 connecting portable computer devices to computer networks; optical fiber
19 converters; telephone sets; Computer Network switches; antennas; transmitters of
20 electronic signals; Optical communication devices, namely, optical transmitters and
21 optical receivers; intercoms; wireless adapters for computers; Wireless access Point
22 devices; computer peripheral devices; electronic data processing apparatus;
23 Computer storage devices, namely blank flash drives and external computer hard
24 drives; Electric connectors; blank integrated circuit cards; cell phones; fluorescent
25 screens; printed circuits”; and in International Class 38 for “Radio broadcasting;
26 television broadcasting; on-line services, namely, message sending; communications
27 by computer terminals; communications via fiber-optic networks; providing user
28 access to a global computer network.”

1 21. U.S. Trademark Registration No. 4244599 is registered in International
2 Class 16 for “Writing paper; Printed matter, namely, newsletters and brochures in
3 the field of networking products; Catalogs for the products in the field of
4 networking products; Envelopes; Notebooks; Writing pads; Greeting cards; Posters;
5 Instruction manuals for networking products; Paper bags for packaging; Plastic bags
6 for packaging; Document files; Stationery; Stickers; Stamp cases; Adhesive tapes
7 for stationery purposes.”

8 22. The registrations of TP-LINK® Marks are valid and subsisting.

9 23. TP-Link has continuously used the TP-LINK® Marks in interstate
10 commerce in connection with the sale, distribution, promotion, and advertising of its
11 high-quality computer networking products, including switches, routers, wireless
12 adapters, and other computer accessories products since at least 2008.

13 24. The arbitrary and distinctive TP-LINK® Marks are highly recognizable
14 by the consuming public and throughout the United States and serve to identify the
15 source and origin of the goods as TP-Link. Through longstanding use, advertising
16 and registration, the TP-LINK® Marks have achieved a high degree of consumer
17 recognition.

18 25. TP-Link has achieved sales of millions of dollars annually from goods
19 bearing the TP-LINK® Marks. As such, the TP-LINK® Marks and the goodwill
20 associated therewith are valuable assets of TP-Link.

21 26. TP-Link has expended a considerable amount of money in advertising,
22 promoting, and marketing goods featuring the TP-LINK® Marks.

23 **B. Defendants' Infringing Conduct**

24 27. Upon information and belief since at least 2016, Defendants have been
25 marketing and selling, and continues to market and sell, networking products
26 bearing the infringing TP-LINK® Marks, including such products on the Amazon
27 marketplace.

28 28. Defendants are unauthorized re-sellers of products bearing the TP-

1 LINK® Marks. Upon information and belief, Defendants' annual sales were over
2 \$1.5 million, in part, gained by the unauthorized listing and selling of goods bearing
3 the TP-LINK® Marks. Unauthorized sales of products bearing the TP-LINK® Marks
4 do not convey the manufacturer's original warranty and as such are materially
5 different than genuine TP-Link products.

6 29. TP-Link is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that
7 Defendants caused hundreds of products infringing the TP-LINK® Marks to enter
8 into interstate commerce, including California and this judicial district.

9 30. Defendants' use and incorporation of the TP-LINK® Marks is likely to
10 cause confusion, mistake or deception in the minds of consumers as to the source of
11 Defendants' products, as to TP-Link's affiliation, connection, or association with
12 Defendants, and/or as to TP-Link's approval or sponsorship of Defendants' products
13 or commercial activities.

14 31. Defendants' infringing use of the TP-LINK® Marks has and will
15 financially harm TP-Link by diminishing the value of the TP-LINK® Marks as an
16 indicator of the source of TP-Link networking products.

17 32. The presence of Defendants' listing and selling of products in the
18 marketplace bearing the TP-LINK® Marks and in advertising injures and damages
19 the value of TP-Link's exclusive rights in its registered trademarks. Among other
20 things, TP-Link has suffered advertising injury due to Defendants' selling, offering
21 for sale, and advertising of products bearing the TP-LINK® Marks.

22 33. Upon information and belief, Defendants' use and incorporation of the
23 TP-LINK® Marks will and did increase the popularity and profitability of
24 Defendants' sale of products and business. Moreover, TP-Link and consumers were
25 and will be harmed by such confusion as Defendants unjustly benefit from the false
26 association with the TP-LINK® Marks at no cost to Defendants. This will and has
27 harmed TP-Link by endangering and undermining the ability of the TP-LINK®
28 Marks to serve as a unique and distinctive indicator of the quality and characteristics

1 of the goods bearing the marks.

2 34. TP-Link is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that
3 Defendants have offered for sale, advertised, and sold products bearing the TP-
4 LINK® Marks in connection with networking products with the intent to benefit
5 from TP-Link's goodwill and reputation in the networking products market, to
6 deceive the public as to the source or origin of Defendants' networking products,
7 and to profit from the demand created by TP-Link's specialized, high-quality
8 computer networking products.

9 35. Defendants have used and affixed marks confusingly similar to the TP-
10 LINK® Marks in connection with the advertising, sales and/or offers for sale of
11 products in such a way that these marks are likely to cause confusion, or to cause
12 mistake, or to deceive as to the origin of Defendants' products.

13 36. TP-Link is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that
14 Defendants, and each of them, through their servants and/or agents, have used in
15 connection with sales and/or offers for sale of products bearing the TP-LINK®
16 Marks false designations of origin, false or misleading descriptions of fact, and/or
17 false or misleading representations of fact, which were and are likely to cause
18 confusion, or to cause mistake, or to deceive as to the origin of the products with the
19 intent to benefit from TP-Link's reputation and goodwill in the computer
20 networking products market, to deceive the public as to the origin of the products
21 bearing the TP-LINK® Marks, and to profit from the demand created by TP-Link for
22 its specialized, high-quality computer networking products.

23 37. Defendants are well aware of TP-Link's rights in the TP-LINK® Marks.
24 Indeed, Defendants were sent at least two notices by Amazon that their use of the
25 TP-LINK® Marks constituted a violation of TP-Link's intellectual property rights.
26 True and correct copies of Amazon's notices to Defendants dated March 31, 2018
27 and April 9, 2018 are attached hereto as **Exhibit 2**.

28 38. On or around May 17, 2018, Adam Starke, as Managing Member of

1 Careful Shopper, sent a letter to Amazon's General Counsel David Zapolksy,
2 concerning Defendants' ongoing issues with the unauthorized sales and violation of
3 TP-Link's intellectual property rights over a three year period. A true and correct
4 copy of Starke's May 17, 2018 letter is attached hereto as **Exhibit C**.

5 39. Defendant's actions have been deliberate, willful, malicious and
6 intentional and conducted with the intent of trading on the goodwill that inures to
7 the TP-LINK® Marks and reputation of TP-Link.

8 40. This is an exceptional case entitling TP-Link to treble damages and
9 attorneys' fees as allowed for under the Lanham Act and California law.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1051, *et seq.*)

Against All Defendants

41. TP-Link incorporates by reference the paragraphs above as if fully set
forth herein.

15 42. The TP-LINK® Marks are inherently distinctive and have acquired
16 secondary meaning among consumers of networking products. Purchasers associate
17 the TP-LINK® Marks only with genuine TP-Link products that convey with the
18 original manufacturer's warranty. The TP-LINK® Marks are nationally recognized
19 as being affixed to goods and merchandise of high quality and originating from TP-
20 Link. This is a result of the marks' inherent distinctiveness, and of extensive
21 advertising and sales throughout the United States and internationally of TP-Link's
22 products bearing the TP-LINK® Marks.

23 43. Defendants' unauthorized use of TP-LINK® Marks in connection with
24 networking products in interstate commerce and advertising relating to the same
25 constitutes false designation of origin and a false representation that the products
26 sold by Defendants are offered, sponsored, authorized, licensed by or otherwise
27 connected with TP-Link or come from the same source as TP-Link's goods and are
28 of the same quality as that assured by the TP-LINK® Marks.

1 44. Defendants' activities are likely to lead to and result in confusion,
2 mistake or deception, and are likely to cause the public to believe that TP-Link has
3 sponsored, authorized, licensed or is otherwise connected or affiliated with the
4 products bearing the TP-LINK® Marks sold by Defendants in violation of 15 U.S.C.
5 § 1114.

6 45. Upon information and belief, Defendants' ongoing acts of infringement
7 are willful and deliberate, and are intended to confuse the public as to the source of
8 good bearing the TP-LINK® Marks and to injure TP-Link and reap the benefit of
9 TP-Link's goodwill associated with the TP-LINK® Marks.

10 46. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' willful and unlawful
11 conduct, TP-Link has been injured and will continue to suffer injury to its business
12 and reputation unless Defendants are restrained by this Court from infringing the
13 TP-LINK® Marks.

14 47. Defendants' foregoing acts are causing irreparable harm to TP-Link for
15 which there is no adequate remedy at law.

16 48. In light of the foregoing, TP-Link is entitled to injunctive relief
17 prohibiting Defendants from using the TP-LINK® Marks, or any marks confusingly
18 similar thereto, for any purpose, and to recover from Defendants all damages that
19 TP-Link has sustained and will sustain as a result of such infringing acts, and all
20 gains, profits and advantages obtained by Defendants as a result thereof, in an
21 amount to be determined, as well as the costs of this action, attorneys' fees and
22 treble damages, and/or statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Unfair Competition, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a))

Against All Defendants

26 49. TP-Link incorporates by reference the paragraphs above as if fully set
27 forth herein.

28 50. By reason of Defendants' acts complained of herein concerning use of

1 the TP-LINK® Marks in connection with the marketing and sales of networking
2 products, Defendants have intentionally engaged in conduct that constitutes false
3 advertising, false designation of origin, false or misleading description of fact, false
4 or misleading representation of fact tending wrongfully and falsely to describe or
5 represent a connection between TP-Link's goods and Defendants' goods bearing the
6 TP-LINK® Marks in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a). TP-Link believes that
7 customers have been and are likely to be confused by Defendants' use of such false
8 designations of origin, false descriptions or representations regarding the sale of
9 products bearing the TP-LINK® Marks by Defendants.

10 51. In addition, Defendants' false designations of origin, false descriptions,
11 and misrepresentations, including in advertising, regarding the use of the TP-LINK®
12 Marks in connection with networking products, are likely to confuse networking
13 product purchasers into thinking that TP-Link is the source of goods sold by
14 Defendants, that TP-Link has sponsored Defendants' use of the TP-LINK® Marks,
15 that Defendants' goods are in some manner affiliated with TP-Link, or that the
16 Defendants' goods are the same as TP-Link's networking products, including that
17 Defendants' products convey with the original manufacturer's warranty.

18 52. Defendants' use of the TP-LINK® Marks constitutes a false designation
19 of origin in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a).

20 53. Defendants' past and ongoing acts of unfair competition and false
21 designation of origin are willful and deliberate, and result in substantial damage to
22 TP-Link in an amount to be determined.

23 54. Because of Defendants' conduct, TP-Link has been irreparably harmed
24 in its business. Moreover, TP-Link will continue to suffer irreparable harm unless
25 Defendants are restrained from making false designations of origin, false
26 descriptions, or misrepresentations regarding the use of the TP-LINK® Marks in
27 connection with networking products.

28 ///

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Unfair Competition, Cal. Bus. Prof. Code §17200 *et seq.*)

Against All Defendants

4 55. TP-Link incorporates by reference the paragraphs above as if fully set
5 forth herein.

56. Defendants' actions discussed herein constitute unfair competition in
violation of Cal. Bus. Prof. Code § 17200 *et seq.* By committing the acts herein
alleged, including adoption of and use in commerce in the State of California of
goods bearing the TP-LINK® Marks in connection with the sale of goods,
Defendants have unfairly appropriated the TP-LINK® Marks and the reputation and
goodwill associated therewith.

12 57. Defendants' conduct constitutes, among other things, unfair
13 competition, infringement of registered trademarks, passing off, deceptive
14 advertising, unfair trade practices, dilution of the distinctive quality of the TP-
15 LINK® Marks, and injury to TP-Link's reputation.

16 58. On information and belief, Defendants' acts have been done
17 oppressively, fraudulently and maliciously and with an intent to trade upon the
18 goodwill of TP-Link and to injure TP-Link.

19 59. Because of this unfair competition, TP-Link has been irreparably
20 harmed in its business. Defendants' will continue the acts of unfair competition
21 described above to the irreparable injury of TP-Link and the public unless restrained
22 and enjoined.

23 60. TP-Link is entitled to disgorgement of all of Defendants' profits
24 associated with this unfair competition.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Common Law Injury to Business Reputation)

Against All Defendants

28 || 61. TP-Link incorporates by reference the paragraphs above as if fully set

1 || forth herein.

2 62. Defendants' use of the TP-LINK® Marks injures and creates a
3 likelihood of injury to TP-Link's business reputation because persons encountering
4 Defendants and their products will believe that TP-Link is affiliated with or related
5 to or has the approval of TP-Link, and any adverse reaction by the public to
6 Defendants' and the quality of their products and the nature of their business will
7 injure the business reputation of TP-Link and the goodwill that it enjoys in
8 connection with the TP-LINK® Marks.

9 63. Defendant's ongoing acts injuring TP-Link's business reputation are
10 willful and deliberate, and result in substantial damage to TP-Link in an amount to
11 be determined. TP-Link is entitled to punitive damages against Defendants.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Common Law Unfair Competition)

Against All Defendants

15 64. TP-Link incorporates by reference the paragraphs above as if fully set
16 forth herein.

17 65. Defendants' actions constitute unfair competition under the common
18 law of the State of California.

19 66. Defendant's ongoing acts of unfair competition are willful and
20 deliberate, and result in substantial damage to TP-Link in an amount to be
21 determined. TP-Link is entitled to punitive damages against Defendants.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Common Law Trademark Infringement)

Against All Defendants

25 67. TP-Link incorporates by reference the paragraphs above as if fully set
26 forth herein.

27 68. The general consuming public of California widely recognizes the TP-
28 LINK® Marks as designating TP-Link as the source of networking products. TP-

1 Link has common law trademark rights in the TP-LINK® Marks under California
2 law.

3 69. Defendants' actions, as hereinbefore alleged, constitute trademark
4 infringement in violation of the common law of the State of California.

5 70. Defendants' ongoing acts of infringement are willful and deliberate,
6 and result in substantial damage to TP-Link in an amount to be determined. TP-
7 Link is entitled to punitive damages against Defendants.

8 **SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

9 **(Unjust Enrichment)**

10 **Against All Defendants**

11 71. TP-Link incorporates by reference the paragraphs above as if fully set
12 forth herein.

13 72. Defendants' use of the TP-LINK® Marks has confused and deceived
14 consumers to believe falsely that the goods marketed and sold by Defendants are
15 sponsored, endorsed by and/or otherwise affiliated with TP-Link.

16 73. The circumstances are such that it would be inequitable,
17 unconscionable, and unjust to permit Defendants to retain the benefit of the sales
18 they have unfairly obtained from using the TP-LINK® Marks.

19 74. TP-Link requests disgorgement of all profits wrongfully obtained by
20 Defendants through their use of the TP-LINK® Marks, and restitution to TP-Link in
21 an amount to be proven at trial.

22 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

23 WHEREFORE, TP-Link prays for the following relief:

24 A. That Defendants and their agents, officers, employees, representatives
25 successors, assigns, attorneys, and all other persons in active concert or participation
26 with Defendants, be permanently enjoined from:

27 1. advertising, promoting, marketing, offering for sale, selling or
28 using the TP-LINK® Marks in connection with networking products;

1 2. infringing the TP-LINK® Marks;
2 3. making any designations of origin, descriptions or
3 representations that TP-Link is the source of products sold by Defendants, that TP-
4 LINK has sponsored products sold by Defendants, that products sold by Defendants
5 are in some manner affiliated with TP-Link, or that products sold by Defendants are
6 the same as TP-Link's products; and

7 4. otherwise deceptively or unfairly competing with TP-Link in the
8 sale of networking products;

9 B. That Defendants be directed to file with this Court, within *thirty* days
10 after entry of any injunction in this case, a written statement, under oath, setting
11 forth in detail the manner in which Defendants have complied with the injunction;

12 C. That TP-Link be awarded damages in an amount to be determined, but
13 in excess of \$500,000, based on Defendants':

14 1. infringement of the TP-LINK® Marks;

15 2. false designations of origin, descriptions and representations
16 regarding products bearing the TP-LINK® Marks sold by Defendants; and

17 3. acts of injury to business reputation, unfair competition,
18 trademark infringement, and unjust enrichment against TP-Link;

19 D. That, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117, Defendants be held liable for all
20 damages suffered by TP-Link resulting from the acts alleged herein;

21 E. That Defendants be compelled to account to TP-Link for any and all
22 profits derived by it from its illegal acts complained of herein;

23 F. That the Court declare this to be an exceptional case and award TP-
24 Link, treble damages, its full costs and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to 15
25 U.S.C. § 1117;

26 G. That TP-Link be awarded under 15 U.S.C. § 1117 enhanced damages
27 up to three times the amount found as actual damages in an amount to be
28 determined;

H. That the Court grant TP-Link any other remedy to which it may be entitled as provided for in 15 U.S.C. §§ 1116 and 1117 or under state law;

I. That Defendants be ordered pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1118 to deliver to TP-Link for destruction all labels, signs, prints, packages, wrappers, receptacles, advertising materials, products or the like that bear marks confusingly similar to the TP-LINK® Marks, or that result in any unfair competition by Defendants against TP-Link;

J. That TP-Link be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs in accordance any and all applicable statutes, rules and common law based on Defendants' illegal acts complained of herein;

K. That TP-Link be awarded punitive and/or treble damages in an amount to be determined; and

L. That TP-Link be awarded such other relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: January 15, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

LTL ATTORNEYS LLP

By: /s/ Heather F. Auyang

Heather F. Auyang

Joe H. Tuffaha

Prashanth Chennakesava

*Counsel for Plaintiff
TP-Link USA Corporation*

1 **DEMAND FOR A JURY TRIAL**

2 Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff TP-Link
3 USA Corporation hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues raised by the
4 Complaint that are triable by jury.

5
6
7 Dated: January 15, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

8 **LTL ATTORNEYS LLP**

9 By: */s/ Heather F. Auyang*

10 Heather F. Auyang
11 Kevin M. Bringuel
12 Prashanth Chennakesavan

13 *Counsel for Plaintiff
14 TP-Link USA Corporation*

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28